

## THE HUASCAR'S LAST FIGHT.

LATER PARTICULARS OF THAT TERRIFIC NAVAL BATTLE.

Three Commanders Killed in Succession—Of Six Men who Went into Action only Six Alive at the End—A Stunng Blow at Peru.

The Panama Star and Herald's Lima correspondence contains an account of the destruction of the famous Peruvian ironclad Huascar by the vessels of the Chilean squadron of Mejillones de Bolivia on Oct. 8. This correspondence is dated Oct. 22. "From the moment," says the writer, "when the Chilean ironclad appeared on the horizon steaming rapidly toward the Huascar from the northward, while the Blanco Encalada was steadily pursuing the ram from the south, Admiral Grau perceived clearly that his ship was doomed, but, brave as he was, no thought of surrender entered his mind, and the whole ship's company assumed their appointed stations for the struggle with a conviction that no human power could extricate the Huascar from such overwhelming forces as those presented by the Chilean vessels."

"About 9 o'clock the ironclads began to fire on the Chileans, when all the gunners of the Peruvian ship, then well in shore, a short distance from the point of the Magdalena River, were still a few miles distant, but, was coming up under full steam. Grau opened fire on the enemy with his turret guns, two 300-pounder Armstrong rifles, and the Cochrane, carrying six guns of the same calibre, in case-mates, instantly replied. At first the shots were wild, and almost all passed over the object aimed at. Admiral Grau evidently desired to improve the short time remaining to combat the Cochrane alone, and with the full force of her engines the Huascar sped against her hugh antagonist, hoping to ram. To no avail, however, for the Cochrane, with her twin screws, easily evaded the shock, and, turning in her own length, by the Huascar went swifly. At the latter, however, passed under the stern of the Chilean, she let drive her turret guns at point blank range, and then returned to her former position in shore with her bow toward the enemy, and her stern, the weak and most vulnerable portion of the ram, guarded from the Chilean fire. The sickonade proceeded without intermission. From the decks and tops of the two vessels the fire of musketry and Gatling guns was incessant, and on the Huascar the effect was becoming painfully apparent. At 10:30 A. M., one hour and eight minutes after the commencement of the fight, the Huascar had discharged her turret pieces twenty-five times against the Cochrane, the latter replying with thirty shots. At this moment the other Chilean ironclad arrived on the scene and immediately opened fire, first directed against the Huascar, then against the Chilean, and the latter soon took the single-stroke which is the battle-station of the commander. The Huascar's guns were excellently well served, and the Chileans, though towed and carried away, and Admiral Grau lay down below for the care of the surgeons, with one of his legs torn off, as is stated. While he was being treated, the Chileans closed in, and another矢 of combat ensued to consolidate.

Another矢 of combat is found in the following letter to THE SUN:

"The latest phase of the proposed consolidation of the gas companies of Brooklyn is the formation of a company for a commission on the valuable services in securing to the stockholders of the following named companies the amount of \$1,000,000.00 in cash.

"The consolidation agreement is that the new company shall assume the debt and allow it \$1,000,000.00 in cash.

"The third story is to be devoted entirely to an art gallery. Among the donors are Mrs. A. T. Stewart, Mr. L. C. and Mrs. Robert Harper, Mr. W. C. Lovell, Mr. George W. Harpers, Mr. Bracken of Boston, and the Claghorn of

children a valuable dwelling situated in the principal street of Lima. Another street is to bear his name, and a monument is to be erected in his honor. The families of the others who have fallen in the service and the survivors are appropriately rewarded.

Samuel McMahon, the Chief Engineer of the United States, is unharmed.

Under date of Oct. 22, 3 P. M., the Star and Herald's Lima correspondent says: "A de- scription just now received from the capital indicates that the Chilean corvettes O'Higgins and Magallanes were seen off that port this morning. Nothing is communicated regarding the enemy's ironclads."

## GAN IN BROOKLYN.

Complications that May Prevent the Consolidation of the Companies.

Several obstacles have interfered with the consolidation of the Brooklyn gas companies. At a meeting of the directors of the Brooklyn company yesterday, it was unanimously resolved not to consolidate unless that company can have four directors in the new organization.

The act of the Legislature under which the consolidation is proposed permits only thirteen directors, and there are nine compa-

nies to be consolidated. The Metropolitan Gas-light Company insists on having two directors,

and the Williamsburgh and the Citizens' com-

panies also claim two directors each. The

Metropolitan Gas Company, the new company

that started the gas war in Brooklyn, has been

elected two directors. Mr. H. G. Stebbins,

President of the Citizens' Gas Light Company,

and the influential stockholders of this company yesterday, gave notice to the company to content with one director. If they refuse, then pressure will be directed upon the Williamsburgh company to yield one of its two directors.

The third story is to be devoted entirely to an art gallery. Among the donors are Mrs. A. T. Stewart, Mr. L. C. and Mrs. Robert Harper, Mr. W. C. Lovell, Mr. George W. Harpers, Mr. Bracken of Boston, and the Claghorn of

Philippe's Gas Company, the new company

that started the gas war in Brooklyn, has been

elected two directors. Both requests have been referred to the directors of the consolidated com-

In behalf of Mr. Stebbins, it is urged that when he detailed the plan of the consolidation, he had not considered it pointed out to him as a fact that the citizens' gas company had no stockholders on the part of the other members of the committee, but at a later date when the plan was developed to make a company with one director, he had no objection, but a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was perpetually dissatisfied, and that he had to leave the house, and live in the house with his brother for a number of years.

The couple had been married many years, but had for some time disagreed. In November, 1878, they agreed to separate, and by a deed of separation then drawn up, Mrs. Stebbins, in consideration of the payment of debts and expenses, with all in property, and to relinquish all claim upon her husband and to depart from his home. Mrs. Stebbins alleges that her husband, Mr. Stebbins, had no right to do this, and that a nice piece of him, named Lena, in charge of the house over her, Mr. Stebbins denies this, and says that his wife was